

**COUNTY JAILS**

**AND**

**PRADA**

**FINAL**

01/17/2014



At the PRADA meeting held November 6, 2013, UDC/IPP was tasked to gather information regarding Contract County Facilities and their interest in future builds for the housing of state inmates.

UDC/IPP was provided a list of County Jails interested in future builds, offering additional state inmate beds for contract housing.

Proposed Build Facilities:

400 Beaver  
400 Davis  
192 Kane  
300 San Juan  
192 Sanpete  
400 Weber  
**1884 Total**

### EXPANSION/NEW BUILDS

It is anticipated UDC will need medical acuity 2 facilities in order to provide enough inmates to fill additional beds for future builds. The criteria for a medical acuity 2 facility states the proximity to a local hospital is vital, (see Appendix 1). The need for minimum security beds will not be as great.

Six (6) Contract County Facilities have indicated interest in future building to provide additional beds for the housing of state inmates. IPP Director Ercanbrack traveled and spoke with each of these facilities regarding their proposed expansion. You will find individual reports regarding the proposed expansions for each of the six (6) facilities.

After these reviews the following is the number of future beds that may meet the needs of UDC.

Proposed Build Facilities:

400 Davis  
192 Kane  
192 San Juan  
192 Sanpete  
400 Weber  
**1376 Total**

\* Beaver County has determined, and UDC agrees, building an additional 400 bed facility would not be feasible at this time due to anticipated staffing difficulties, limited community resources and County Policy.

\* San Juan County's proposed 300 bed facility was determined to be minimum security beds and would not meet UDC's needs. Sheriff Eldridge informed he would like to review other Counties 192 expansion instead.

\* On 01/15/2014, IPP was informed Utah County is interested in providing 400 plus contract beds for consideration under PRADA. IPP has not met to discuss this with the Sheriff.

\* Of the proposed builds San Juan is the only county without building plans.

## BEAVER COUNTY PROPOSED EXPANSION

**Jail Capacity:** 396

**Contract Beds:** 396

**Funded Beds:** 370

**Available Beds:** 380

**Type of Beds:** Male Medium Security – Majority; Male Maximum/Minimum – Limited

**Programming:** 7-12 month Substance Abuse Treatment

**Facility Medical Level:** M3

**Miles to Local Hospital:** 3.6 miles to Beaver Valley

November 21, 2013, IPP Director Ercanbrack met with Beaver County Sheriff, Cameron Noel and Jail Commander Keith Draper at the Beaver County Sheriff's Office. Director Ercanbrack met with them to discuss their proposal to expand 400 beds as part of the prison relocation committee work.

Sheriff Noel has concerns with an expansion at this time due to the following issues:

➤ **Staffing Levels**

Con – 70 additional staff needed for a 400 bed facility and currently the Sheriff is having a difficult time finding qualified recruits for normal staffing levels of the current facility.

➤ **Staff Pay**

Con – Beaver County pay is below surrounding counties pay.

➤ **Policy - Resident of Beaver County**

Con – Must reside in Beaver County in order to be employed by the County per policy.

➤ **Community Resources**

Con- Community resources for programming and medical are limited.

➤ **Facility Design**

Pro – Solid facility design allowing the ability to house any type and/or classification of inmate allowing for more referrals and housing of state inmates.

After meeting with Sheriff Noel and hearing his concerns with staffing, community resources as well as policy requiring the employee to reside in the County UDC would not support the construction of the additional 400 bed facility in Beaver County at this time. UDC believes Beaver County is a valuable partner and would consider a future build in Beaver County when a need for more beds arises.

## DAVIS COUNTY PROPOSED EXPANSION

**Jail Capacity:** 926

**Contract Beds:** 100

**Funded Beds:** 100

**Available Beds:** 150 (Needs an approved Legislative Resolution for additional beds. Additional beds offered are mostly minimum security.)

**Type of Beds:** Male - Maximum Security; Male - Medium Security; Male Minimum Security – Majority; Female – Maximum and Medium Security

**Programming:** Proposed Substance Abuse Treatment for Female Inmates

**Facility Medical Level:** M3

**Miles to Local Hospital:** 8.3 miles to Lakeview Hospital

December 3, 2013, IPP Director Ercanbrack met with Davis County Chief Deputy Kevin Fielding and Commander Jaquez at the Davis County Sheriff's Office. Director Ercanbrack met with them to discuss the proposal to expand 400 beds as part of the prison relocation committee work. This expansion would be a linear facility built behind and to the East of the current video visiting location. There would not be any other expansions possible once this is completed.

The following issues were discussed:

➤ **Facility Design**

Pro – Solid facility design allowing the ability to house UDC classification level 2 inmates and maximum security inmates. Installation of sliders would be done in one unit for the housing of maximum security inmates.

Con – With the limited ground available for the expansion it is not possible to support an outside recreation yard. ICE and maximum security inmates may be a good fit however; with the limitation of no outside recreation yard the ability for UDC to provide enough referrals to fill the beds is in question.

Con - Another concern is Davis County and the City of Farmington entered into an agreement 10 years ago that the jail facility would not expand at the current location.

➤ **Staffing Levels**

Pro- Chief Deputy Fielding and Commander Jaquez felt hiring staff for the increased staffing levels needed for the additional beds would not be problem. They stated with the facility design and with limited inmate movement they would need approximately 30-40 staff for the 400 bed facility.

➤ **Community Resources**

Pro – Davis County already has a 24/7 medical/nursing staff. It was discussed with the expansion there is a possibility of enlarging the medical area and adding Mental Health, dental, and optical services.

## KANE COUNTY PROPOSED EXPANSION

**Jail Capacity:** 186

**Contract Beds:** 160

**Funded Beds:** 160

**Available Beds:** 166 (Needs an approved Legislative Resolution for additional beds.)

**Type of Beds:** Male - Medium Security

**Programming:** Substance Abuse Treatment for Male Sex Offenders

**Facility Medical Level:** M3

**Miles to Local Hospital:** 3 miles to Kane County Hospital

December 4, 2013, IPP Director Ercanbrack had a discussion with Kane County Sheriff, LaMont Smith and Chief Deputy Tracy Glover regarding the proposal to expand 192 beds as part of the prison relocation committee work. The County Commission proposed a 400 bed expansion originally however, Sheriff Smith felt recruiting enough staff for a 400 bed facility would be difficult. Therefore, the proposal has been reduced to a 192 bed facility.

The following issues were discussed:

➤ **Staffing Levels**

Pro- Sheriff Smith and Chief Deputy Glover felt hiring staff for the increased staffing levels needed for the additional beds would not be problem as they are able to recruit from surrounding counties and the nearby Colleges.

➤ **Community Resources**

Pro – The Sheriff and Chief Deputy informed the County Commission is open to review incentives to bring in needed resources and they are open to any type of programming UDC determines is needed. Kane is very interested in opening a Sex Offender Treatment Program as it would work well with the inmates already housed at the facility.

Hiring of a 24/7 medical staff would not be a problem.

➤ **Facility Design**

Pro – Solid facility design allowing the ability to house medium and some maximum security classification of inmates. Ground for the expansion is plentiful as the original plan for the current facility allows two (2) more housing pods and only one (1) would be added.

Pro – Kane County has a state of the art video visitation system. Because of the distance from the Wasatch Front, Kane County has contracted to make remote video visitation available to approved visitors using an internet connection to minimize any inconvenience caused by the distance.

Con – Kane County is one of the farthest from any of the prison sites. However, this con is minimized in that the County houses sex offender's which are typically sentenced to a longer term.

## SAN JUAN COUNTY PROPOSED EXPANSION

**Jail Capacity:** 104

**Contract Beds:** 110

**Funded Beds:** 76

**Available Beds:** 76

**Type of Beds:** Male - Maximum Security - Limited; Male - Medium Security - Majority

**Programming:** Sex Offender Treatment Program (Currently there are 32 programming beds. Beginning January 1, 2014, 32 additional programming beds will be offered.)

**Facility Medical Level:** M3

**Miles to Local Hospital:** .6 miles to San Juan County Hospital; 21.35 miles to Blue Mountain Hospital

December 5, 2013, IPP Director Ercanbrack met with San Juan County Sheriff Rick Eldredge, and Jail Commander John Young at the San Juan County Sheriff's Office. Director Ercanbrack met with them to discuss the proposal to expand 300 beds as part of the prison relocation committee work.

The following issues were discussed:

➤ **Staffing Levels**

Pro- Sheriff Eldredge felt hiring staff for the increased staffing levels needed for the additional beds would not be problem as several businesses are shutting down in the area and unemployment has increased. The County may need to look at different incentives to hire and retain staff.

➤ **Community Resources**

Pro – Hiring of a 24/7 medical staff would not be a problem as USU has a nursing program in the area and the Sheriff felt they could get Clinical Internships as well.

➤ **Facility Design**

Con- The current facility design is for dormitory housing, minimum security housing. Director Ercanbrack informed this design would not work for UDC state inmate housing needs. After discussion the Sheriff was open building a 192 two (2) man cell facility instead, however there would need to be more discussion with the Commission and costs would need to be evaluated.

## SANPETE COUNTY PROPOSED EXPANSION

**Jail Capacity:** 128

**Contract Beds:** 76

**Funded Beds:** 76

**Available Beds:** 75

**Type of Beds:** Male Medium Security – Majority; Male Maximum Security– Limited

**Programming:** 18 month Sex Offender Treatment Program

**Facility Medical Level:** M3

**Miles to Local Hospital:** 14.4 miles to Gunnison Valley; 23.7 miles to Sanpete Valley

November 21, 2013, IPP Director Ercanbrack met with Sanpete County Sheriff, Brian Nielson at the Sanpete County Sheriff's Office. Director Ercanbrack met with him to discuss the proposal to expand 192 beds as part of the prison relocation committee work.

The following issues were discussed:

➤ **Staffing Levels**

Pro- Even with the CUCF expansion Sheriff Nielson feels it would not impede his ability to hire the staff needed for the additional 192 bed facility.

Sheriff Nielson works with Snow College for recruitment and academy.

➤ **Community Resources**

Pro – Enough community resources to increase programming and hire the required 24/7 medical/nursing staff.

➤ **Facility Design**

Pro – Solid facility design allowing the ability to house any type and/or classification of inmate allowing for more referrals and housing of state inmates. Sheriff stated he is willing to install sliders in one unit of the facility to house maximum security inmates.

Sanpete County has been a valuable partner and recently added a much needed Sex Offender Program for state inmates. After meeting with Sheriff Nielson and discussing the expectations for a new facility UDC would support the additional 192 bed expansion in Sanpete County. Sheriff Nielson indicated he is willing to build to meet the needs of UDC and provide additional treatment/programming for the inmates.

## WEBER COUNTY PROPOSED EXPANSION

**Jail Capacity:** 1184

**Contract Beds:** 150

**Funded Beds:** 140

**Available Beds:** 250 (Needs an approved Legislative Resolution for additional beds.)

**Type of Beds:** Male - Maximum Security; Male - Medium Security; Male - Minimum Security - Majority; Female – Maximum (Limited) and Medium Security

**Programming:** Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for Female Inmates

**Facility Medical Level:** M3

**Miles to Local Hospital:** 6.72 miles to McKay Dee Hospital; 8.64 miles to Ogden Regional Medical Center

December 3, 2013, IPP Director Ercanbrack met with Weber County Sheriff, Terry Thompson, Chief Deputy Kevin Burton, Undersheriff McCloud and Reed Richards at the Weber County Sheriff's Office. Director Ercanbrack met with them to discuss the proposal to expand 400 beds as part of the prison relocation committee work.

The following issues were discussed:

➤ **Staffing Levels**

Pro- Sheriff Thompson felt hiring staff for the increased staffing levels needed for the additional beds would not be problem.

➤ **Community Resources**

Pro – Enough community resources to increase programming and is open to any type of programming UDC determines is needed. Some alternatives discussed were Education – state inmates needing to complete High School, and an outside work program.

Recently Weber hired one Substance Abuse therapist for a female Substance Abuse Program. They anticipate hiring one more therapist.

Hiring of a 24/7 medical staff would not be a problem. Weber will be increasing their Infirmary area as part of the new construction by converting their culinary space into a medical area.

➤ **Facility Design**

Pro – Solid facility design allowing the ability to house medium and maximum classification of inmate allowing for more referrals and housing of state inmates. Installation of sliders would be done in one unit for the housing of maximum security inmates.

Con- The Sheriff's concern is financial. As there would be a high bond needed for the financing of such a facility and there is no guarantee for the amount of funding or length of the contract. As in the past when the economy dropped UDC pulled out inmates due to budget issues. Sheriff Thompson and the others were concerned at placing such a high burden on the tax payer with the possibility of not having the funding for contracting in the future.

# APPENDIX 1

